

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: June 7-8, 2006

Reference No.: 2.6c.
Action Item

From: CINDY McKIM
Chief Financial Officer

Prepared by: Norma Ortega
Chief
Division of Budgets

Subject: **FINANCIAL DE-ALLOCATION AMENDMENT FOR ONE STATE PROPOSITION 116
RAIL PROGRAM PROJECT
RESOLUTION BFA-05-10, AMENDING RESOLUTIONS BFP-02-03**

RECOMMENDATION:

The California Department of Transportation (Department) recommends the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approve the following resolution, de-allocating \$472,728 from the Sorrento to Miramar Second Track Realignment Proposition 116 Rail Program project authorized under PUC Section 99629(a) and 99642, in accordance with the attached Vote List.

ISSUE:

The attached vote list describes a regional rail project funded from Proposition 116 rail bond proceeds. The implementing agency, North San Diego County Transit Development Board, has determined that the Sorrento to Miramar Curve Straightening and Second Main Track project is no longer a viable project at this time. The San Diego Association of Governments is requesting that the remaining funds be re-allocated to the Del Mar Bluff Stabilization project. A concurrent programming actions are under agenda items 2.1e.(1) and 2.1e.(2).

BACKGROUND:

In December 2002, the Commission approved Resolution BFP-02-03, allocating \$475,000 from Proposition 116 Rail Bond proceeds to the North San Diego County Transit District for Right of Way support for a Sorrento to Miramar double track and curve straightening project. The agency has determined that the original design of the project can not be constructed at this time due to funding constraints.

RESOLUTION:

- 1.1 WHEREAS, in December 2002, the California Transportation Commission passed Resolution BFP-02-03, which approved \$475,000 in Proposition 116 Program funds from PUC Sections 99629(a) and 99642 for the Sorrento-Miramar Second Track Realignment project; and

- 1.2 WHEREAS, the North San Diego County Transit District has determined that the original design of the Sorrento-Miramar Second Track Realignment project could not be constructed at this time due to funding constraints; and
- 1.3 WHEREAS, the Proposition 116 Rail Bond funds are continuously re-appropriated and can be made available for another project in the region.
- 2.1 NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the California Transportation Commission hereby adjusts the approved funding amount allocated under Resolution BFP-02-03 for the Sorrento-Miramar Second Track Realignment project from \$475,000 to \$2,272, de-allocating \$472,728 in Proposition 116 Bond funds, in accordance with the attached vote list.
- 2.2 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Resolution BFA-05-10 is hereby approved, amending Resolution BFP-02-03.

2.6 Mass Transportation Financial Matters

Project # Amended Resolution Revised Allocation Recipient RTPA/CTC District-County Legislative District	Project Title Project Description Funding Summary	Program / Year PA # PUC Code PPNO Prog Amount	Fund Program Codes Alloc Amount																				
2.6c. Allocation Amendment – State Proposition 116 Rail Program Projects – Various PUCs			Resolution BFA-05-10																				
1 Amending Resolution BFP-02-03 \$2,272 North San Diego County Transit District SANDAG 11-San Diego Senate: 40 Assembly: 75	Sorrento-Miramar Second Track Realignment Amend BFP-02-03 to de-allocate a total of \$472,728. The construction of the Sorrento to Miramar Curve Straightening and Second Main Track Project could not be built due to funding constraints.	P116R/00-01 PA-00-07 PA-05-__ 99629(a) R5006A \$1,076 99642 R5006A \$1,196	P116 30.20.020.000 \$1,076 \$1,196 \$2,272																				
	<table> <tr> <th><u>ALLOCATION</u></th><th><u>ORIGINAL</u></th><th><u>REVISION</u></th><th><u>AMENDED</u></th></tr> <tr> <td>BFP-02-03</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td>PUC 99629(a)</td><td>\$225,000</td><td>(\$223,924)</td><td>\$1,076</td></tr> <tr> <td>PUC 99642</td><td>\$250,000</td><td>(\$248,804)</td><td>\$1,196</td></tr> <tr> <td>TOTAL</td><td>\$475,000</td><td>(\$472,728)</td><td>\$2,272</td></tr> </table>	<u>ALLOCATION</u>	<u>ORIGINAL</u>	<u>REVISION</u>	<u>AMENDED</u>	BFP-02-03				PUC 99629(a)	\$225,000	(\$223,924)	\$1,076	PUC 99642	\$250,000	(\$248,804)	\$1,196	TOTAL	\$475,000	(\$472,728)	\$2,272		
<u>ALLOCATION</u>	<u>ORIGINAL</u>	<u>REVISION</u>	<u>AMENDED</u>																				
BFP-02-03																							
PUC 99629(a)	\$225,000	(\$223,924)	\$1,076																				
PUC 99642	\$250,000	(\$248,804)	\$1,196																				
TOTAL	\$475,000	(\$472,728)	\$2,272																				